Case 1:04-cv-00004-SJM Document 119 Filed 05/04/2006 Page 1 of 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVAN**SCANNED**

	<b>OCUMED</b>
United States of America,	
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION No. 04-4
V.	Judge McLaughlin 🚍
THE CITY OF ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA,	S. DISTRICT
DEFENDANT.	RECT.
OBJECTION TO THE ENT	RY OF THE CONSENT DECREE
I am objecting to the terms of settleme agreed to by the United States and the City of	ent of this case included in the Consent Decree
Name: ROBERT W. HILL	Social Security Number: 175-68-4455
Other name(s) used:	
Address: 5004 CHERRY ST. EXT ELIE, PA 16509	Telephone: <u>814 866 0823</u>
claimants that will be hired under t	to application of retroactive seniority to this Consent Decree. The application of of state civil service will violate the civil - see cont
Are you requesting the opportunity to state you	our objection in person at the Fairness Hearing?
[ ] Yes	[Y] No
IF NECESSARY. YOU MUST SEND ON CLERK OF THE COURT, ONE COPY TO ONE COPY TO THE CITY AT THE ADDRESS OF THE COPY TO THE CITY AT THE ADDRESS OF THE COPY TO THE COPY	DEXPLAIN THE BASIS OF YOUR OBJECTION E COPY OF YOUR OBJECTION TO THE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND DRESSES PROVIDED IN THE TO LAY PERSON(6) BEING LETTER HIRED
INDER THE CONSENT DECREE	E AS THE FINDING OF THE COURT
A INANAGUATE AS IT	FALLED TO MENTION THAT ALL

UNDER THE CONSENT DECREE AS THE FINDING OF THE COURT WAS INADAGUATE AS IT FAILED TO MENTION THAT ALL NOMEN WHO TOOK THE TEST DID NOT FAIL IT. THE TEST WAS NOT IMPOSSIBLE FOR WOMEN TO PASS (EASILY), THE COURT'S FINDING OPENED A "CAN OF WORMS" AS EVERYONE (MALE OR FEMALE) THAT EVER FAILED THE TEST CAN NOW DISPUTE THEIR OWN FAILURE. IT IS UNFAIR TO PEOPLE WHO

Page # 2 of Basis of my objection

service provisions of state statutes. Existing members of the police department could face furlough from their position in order to make room for a claimant. Such action would also constitute a violation of equal protection under the United States and Pennsylvania Constitutions by subordinating my rights for those of a claimant even though I am guilty of no violation of law. The application of seniority for purposes of determining anything other than wage rates to be paid by the City violates the terms and provisions of the Collective Bargaining Agreement as protected by Act 111 of 1968, 43 P.S. § 217.1 et seq, and the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act, 43 P. S. § 211.1 et seq.

CONT D WARR.

LEGITIMATIELY PASSED THE TEST BUT DID NOT SCORE HIGH ENCUGH FOR HIRE ON THE WRITTEN PORTION, WHEN DO WE DRAW THE LINE? A PERSON MUST FIRST WANT TO BE A POLICE OFFICER, THEN HAVE THE AMBUTION TO TAKE ANY/ALL TESTS AND PASS THEM SUCCESSFULLY, THEN (LASTLY) THEY MUST WANT THE JOB. THESE PEOPLE WHO WANT JOBS THEY DON'T DESERVE ARE ACTUALLY THE ONES WHO ARE YIOLATING RIGHTS AND THE HIGHER COURTS SHOULD REVIEW THE ISSUE TO MAKE A MORE SOUND